

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,487	09/02/2004	Kazuhisa Senda	121036-0070	2843
75	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Michael S Gzybowski			O HERN, BRENT T	
Butzel Long Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
350 South Main Street			1772	
Ann Arbor, MI	48104		DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/506,487	SENDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brent T. O'Hern	1772		

	Brent T. O'Hern	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Impliant Amenument	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed emendme	ant canceling the
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	in be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>15</u> .			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince pecause:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Marin AD	, ()
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINE	10/4/06 R
da 200	•	I IIIAIVATTI EVA MITTA	
all a N			

\$0 30°

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's amended independent claim 1, as presented in Applicant's Paper filed 26 September 2006, requires further consideration and/or further search since the newly presented "alkyl group" limitation was not previously presented.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

On page 10, paragraph 3 of Applicant's Paper filed 26 September 2006, Applicant argues that Applicant's amended claim #1 distinguishes Applicant's invention from the prior art, specifically the teaching of Farnam ('704) and Kusakabe ('014), it is noted as discussed above that Applicant's amendment requires further consideration and/or further search.

On page 11, paragraph 3 of Applicant's Paper filed 26 September 2006, Applicant argues that Applicant's amended claims are not taught by the combination of Farnam ('704) and Kusakabe ('014), it is noted as discussed above that Applicant's amendment requires further consideration and/or further search.

Continuation of 13. Other:

Applicant's amended title, presented in Applicant's Paper filed 26 September 2006 will not be entered since the amendment also contains amendments to the claims that require further consideration. If the amended title is presented by itself in a separate Paper then the amended title would be acceptable.

The objection to claim #15 as noted in the Office Action dated 26 June 2006 will not be withdrawn because it is presented with other amendments that require further consideration. If the proposed amendment to claim #15 is presented by itself in a separate Paper then the objection would be withdrawn.

